Variation in the halide species used in oxidation reactions proved to be the most intriguing parameter affecting product distributions (see Table 1). Reactions between $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}$ and 2-halobutanes illustrate this selectivity pattern: 2-iodobutane reacted rapidly to produce $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}(n$ butyl)l as the major product, ${ }^{14}$ while the analogous chloride reacted more slowly to generate zirconocene dichloride as the predominant species. To elucidate this phenomenon, reactions between $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{Zr}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)_{2}$ and sec-butyl chloride, bromide, and iodide were qualitatively followed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. We note that reaction occurs immediately on mixing the 'zirconium species with each of these halides. For the iodide rapid growth of oxidative adduct is recorded; for the bromide, somewhat slower growth is observed; and, for the alkyl chloride, much slower growth is noted. Variation in formation rates for $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrRX}$ as a function of halogen atom abstracted is reminiscent of results reported for tin radicals ${ }^{15}$ or for $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ species. ${ }^{16}$ These observations exclude significant participation of a recombination scheme such as the one shown in Scheme II: Here alkyl iodides would be expected to yield the greatest relative amount of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{ZrX}_{2}$ and alkyl chlorides the least.

We conclude that whereas propagation depends dramatically on $\mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{L}$ L, and X , initiation is apparently not highly sensitive to variation in X. ${ }^{17,18}$ It seems then that it is this discrepancy in discrimination profiles (iodides vs. bromides vs. chlorides) between these two competing routes, both of which involve attack of a metallic species upon an alkyl halide, which determines the overall outcome of these competitive oxidation processes.
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## Additions and Corrections

Statistical Phase Space Theory of Polyatomic Systems. Application to the Unimolecular Reactions $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CN}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{+}$ +HCN and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{+}+\cdot{ }^{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ [J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99 , 1705 (1977)]. By Walter J. Chesnavich and Michael T. Bowers,* Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106.

The right-hand side of eq 6 should be divided by $\sigma_{\mathrm{a}}$. Also, eq 7 should read

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\mathcal{f}}(\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{a})=\frac{S_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{b}}} \iint & \bar{k}_{\mathcal{\delta}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \\
& \times \rho_{\mathrm{b}}\left(E-\mathscr{E}_{0}-\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~d} \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{b}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

eq 8 should read

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{f}(E)_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{S_{\mathrm{r}}^{\prime}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{b}} S_{\mathrm{r}} \rho_{\mathrm{a}}\left(E-\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)} \iint \bar{k}_{\neq}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \\
&  \tag{8}\\
& \quad \times \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{b}}\left(E-\mathscr{E}_{0}-\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~d} \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{tr}}^{\mathrm{b}}
\end{align*}
$$

and equation (9a) should read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{k}_{d}\left(\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathscr{J}\right) / 2 \pi \hbar \rho_{\mathrm{t}}\left(\mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}\right) \tag{9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ is the density of rotational states of the separated fragments at rotational energy $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{tr}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}-\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}$. Equation 10a is correct as published.

In eq 10b-14, in the text surrounding these equations, and in Figures 2, 3, and 5, the quantity $E$ should be replaced by $E_{\mathrm{v}}$, the vibrational energy of the parent ion "a" except as follows;
$E$ should be replaced by $E_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$ a in the right-hand side of eq 10b, in both sides of eq 11 and 12 , and in the first argument of $\mathcal{P}_{d}$ in eq 14. These replacements are based on the standard assumption that the rotational angular momentum and rotational constant of the parent neutral are unaltered by the ionization process. Also the right-hand side of eq 10 bhould be divided by the rotational partition function of the parent molecule, and the right-hand side of eq 14 should be divided by a (numerically determined) normalization constant.

For clarification, $S_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\prime}$ always equals $2 \not \subset$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathcal{\not}\right)$, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{tr}}, \mathscr{\mathscr { L }}\right), \bar{k}_{\neq}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right), k_{\neq}(E)_{\mathrm{a}}$, and $k_{f}\left(E, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}$ are functions of both $\mathcal{J}$ and its projection on a space-fixed axis. Furthermore, if the parent molecule is treated as a spherical top, then $k_{d}(E)_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $k_{g}\left(E, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}$ are also functions of the projection of $d$ on a body-fixed axis and $g_{\nexists}$ in eq 10 b equals $(2 \not \partial)^{2}$. Also, note that $\int \bar{k}_{\neq}\left(\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) 2 d_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{d} \mathscr{g}=k_{\mathrm{L}}$, where $k_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the Langevin capture rate constant.

Finally, a minor error was found in our RRKM computer program which, when corrected, required minor changes ( $\sim \mathbf{1 0 \%}$ ) in the transition state frequencies in order to reproduce the RRKM curves plotted in Figures 3 and 5.

None of the errors or misprints affect in any way the general conclusions of this paper.
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